REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This Amendment is being filed in response to the Final Office Action dated December 28, 2007 and The Decision from the Board dated September 29, 2010. Reconsideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Claims 5, 9, 11-13, 16-18, and 23-34 are pending in the Application. Claims 5, 9 and 31 are independent claims.

The Applicants appreciate the indication by the Board that the prior rejection of claims 9, 16, 23, 24, and 28-34 is reversed. Claim 5 is amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 9. Accordingly, consideration and allowance of claim 5 is respectfully requested. Claims 11-13, 17, 18 and 25-27 respectively depend from claim 5 and accordingly are allowable for at least this reason as well as for the separately patentable elements contained in each of said claims. Accordingly, separate consideration and allowance of each of the dependent claims is respectfully requested.

In the Final Office Action, claims 5, 9, 11-13, 16-18 and 23-34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,721,191. The Examiner indicated that a terminal disclaimer may be used to overcome this rejection. This rejection is respectfully traversed, particularly in view of the present amendments to the claims. In fact, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,721,191 do not teach, disclose or suggest, a resonant converter that amongst other patentable elements, comprises (illustrative emphasis added) "different ratios of a magnitude of output voltage to number of turns are

provided in respect of associated secondary windings having different winding directions"

as recited in claim 5. However, it is respectfully submitted that Applicants will consider

filing a terminal disclaimer, if necessary in view of any allowable claims, upon indication

that the present application is otherwise allowable or includes allowable claims.

In addition, Applicants deny any statement, position or averment of the Examiner

that is not specifically addressed by the foregoing argument and response. Any rejections

and/or points of argument not addressed would appear to be moot in view of the presented

remarks. However, the Applicants reserve the right to submit further arguments in support

of the above stated position, should that become necessary. No arguments are waived

and none of the Examiner's statements are conceded.

DE010138USA-rce-11-29-10.doc

10

Patent

Serial No. 10/797,791

Amendment in Reply to Final Office Action of December 28, 2007 and

The Decision from the Board of September 29, 2010

Applicants have made a diligent and sincere effort to place this application in condition for immediate allowance and notice to this effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory L. Thorne, Reg. 39,398

Attorney for Applicant(s) November 29, 2010

THORNE & HALAJIAN, LLP

111 West Main Street Bay Shore, NY 11706 Tel: (631) 665-5139

Fax: (631) 665-5101

Please direct all inquiries and correspondence to:

Michael E. Belk, Reg. 33,357 Philips Intellectual Property & Standards P.O. Box 3001 Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001 (914) 333-9643